

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	Τ				_
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/798,928	03/11/2004	Kurt Pfitzinger	5031-214 6919		
20792 7	10/05/2006		EXAMINER		
	EL SIBLEY & SAJO	WILLIAMS, MARK A			
PO BOX 3742	8			,	_
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3676			

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/798,928		PFITZINGER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mark A. Willia	ams	3676				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
Period fo	• •							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the rid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ion. period will apply and will ex y statute, cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time community to the community of t	I. lely filed the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	31 July 2006						
, —	•	This action is non	-final					
,	•			secution as to the	merits is			
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims							
_		antiam						
,	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application of the application o		deration					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>41-45</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) <u>33,35-37 and 46-50</u> is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-13,16,17,20,23-26 and 30</u> is/a							
•	Claim(s) 14,15,18,19,21,22,27,28,31 and		to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction							
, —	,,	•						
	on Papers							
, —	The specification is objected to by the Ex							
10)[	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				2 1 121/d\			
11) 🗆 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
,—	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	_	orojan priority undo	- 25 II C C S 110/a)	(d) or (f)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ☐ All  b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	oreign priority under	35 U.S.C. 9 119(a)	-(u) or (i).				
a)ر	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	•	Notice of Informal Page 11.	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/798,928

Art Unit: 3676

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 41-45 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group II, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 7/31/06.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 8, 12, 13, 20, 23-26, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wagner et al., US Patent 2,788,997. As seen in figure 1, a container 1 comprising a receptacle having side walls, a floor (at the bottom of the aircraft), and an open end; a cover (any of elements 6, 7, and 8) pivotally attached to one of the walls of the receptacle, the cover being movable between an open position, in which the open end of the receptacle can be accessed, and a closed position, in which the cover overlies the open end of the receptacle; as seen in

Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

figures 2 and 3, a locking pin (50, 51) is mounted on one of the cover and a receptacle walls; and at least one locking system for maintaining the cover in the closed position, the locking system comprising a release member (not shown, but attached to 24) and although not explicitly shown, the release member inherently being attached to the other of the cover and a receptacle wall; a connecting member 23 attached to the release member, and a rotary unit including a base plate 56 having a slot (although not explicitly shown, inherently there is a slot to received element (50, 51)), a rotary member 25 rotatably mounted with the base plate about a first axis of rotation, the rotary member including a plurality of fingers extending radially outwardly from a central portion thereof and an engagement portion being the recess portions between fingers fixed to the central portion, and a pawl member 35 pivotally mounted with the base plate about a second axis of rotation and coupled with the connecting member at least during a portion of operation of the device; wherein when the cover is in the closed position, the locking pin is received within the slot of the rotary unit base plate and engages one of the fingers of the rotary member, and the pawl member via (30, 31) engages the engagement portion to prevent rotation thereof, and wherein actuation of the release member disengages the pawl member from the engagement portion, thereby enabling the rotary member to rotate freely relative to the base plate, which rotation disengages the locking pin from the rotary member and enables the cover to move to the open position. A biasing member is provided to bias the pawl in the claimed manner, as conventional in the art (see column 3, lines 54-59). The container is considered to have two sidewalls, and each of the sidewalls of the container includes a winged portion 5 that defines a lateral storage region. The locking pin 50 is pivotally mounted to the cover 8 and generally perpendicularly to the slotted opening of the rotary unit base plate, as claimed. The fingers are lobed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wagner et al.

Regarding claim 6, Wagner discloses the claimed invention except for an adjustable locking pin. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device in this way, since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves

only routine skill in the art. *In re Stevens*, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954). Such a modification is not critical to the design and would have produced no unexpected results. Such a modification would have the benefit of allowing for positive optimum alignment of the locking pin with the rotary unit.

Regarding claim 7, it would have being obvious to make the locking pin adjustable in such a way, in order to achieve optimum latching alignment along the direction of engagement with the rotary unit, as known in the art.

- 3. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wagner et al. in view of Lauderbach, US Patent 4,706,333. Although the claimed biasing member is not explicitly disclosed, such structure is very old and well known in the art. Lauderbach provides an example of such a known design. It would have been obvious to modify the device in this way for purposes of achieving a desired biasing of the cover member.
- 4. Claim 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wagner et al. in view of Falcon, US Patent 5,821,488. Regarding claim 16, Wagner provides actuating element 24 to be attached to a release member of some type. Falcon provides the old and well-known concept of a palm button 100 used in the application of operating a cable member for latching and/or unlatching

Application/Control Number: 10/798,928 Page 6

Art Unit: 3676

purposes. It would have been obvious to make such a release member a palm button for the purpose of allowing a user to operate the device by the palm of a user's hand.

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wagner et al. in view of Harmon, US Patent 4,691,952. The concept of locking pins and other latch components being biased to a rest position is very old and well-known in the art, for alignment purposes. Harmon provides an example of such structure. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one skilled in the art to have included such a modification in the design of Wagner for the purpose of achieving improved alignment during use of the device.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 33, 35-37, 46-50 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 15, 18, 19, 21, 22, 27, 28, and 32 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims of record have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that it is improper for the examiner to use identical structure to be cited as engaging both the locking pin and the pawl member. It is the position of the examiner that nothing in the claim language prevents such an interpretation, allowing for the application of the applied art. There is no clearly claimed distinction between applicant's invention and the applied art. Further, such limitations as claimed are functional limitations, and the device of the applied art can be broadly interpreted as providing this claimed function.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the

advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened

statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the

Application/Control Number: 10/798,928 Page 8

Art Unit: 3676

advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-7064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on (571) 272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,928 Page 9

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Williams

9/23/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EVALUATION